
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH
CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	Civil Nos. 2:09 CV434 CW
Petitioner,	:	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
vs.	:	Honorable Clark Waddoups
	:	Magistrate Judge Brooke Wells
KEVIN J. DALGLEISH,	:	
Respondent.	:	

On May 12, 2009, the United States of America filed a petition to enforce its two November 18, 2008 IRS Summonses (“the Summonses”) pursuant to [26 U.S.C. §§ 7402\(b\)](#) and 7604(a). Judge Waddoups issued an Order to Show Cause (“OTSC”) on May 14, 2009, which referred this matter to the undersigned.

The OTSC directed Respondent to file a written response supported by sworn affidavits to the United States’ Petition to Enforce the Summons (“the Petition”) within ten days of the OTSC being served upon him. The OTSC also directed the undersigned to convene a hearing on July 23, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. to hear any arguments that would assist the undersigned in determining whether Respondent has shown cause as to why he should not be ordered to comply with the Summonses. Respondent failed to file any response to the Petition or OTSC.

In compliance with the OTSC, the undersigned convened a hearing on July 23, 2009, at which Respondent was present, appearing *pro se*, and the United States appeared through Assistant United States Attorney, Jared C. Bennett. After the arguments and representations made in the written submissions and at the July 23, 2009 hearing, I report the following:

1. The United States has carried its burden of proof to enforce the Summonses. Through the Summonses and the declaration of the revenue officer that were attached to the Petition, the United States established that: (1) it sought the summoned information for a legitimate purpose, (2) the summoned information is relevant to the legitimate purpose, (3) the summoned information was not already in the possession of the United States; and (4) that the United States followed proper administrative procedures.

2. Once the United States has established its initial burden of proof, the burden shifts to Respondent to show why he should not be compelled to comply with the Summonses. Respondent has failed to show cause as to why he should not be ordered to comply with the Summonses.

Consequently, I recommend that:

1. The District Court find that the United States has carried its burden to enforce the Summonses, and that Respondent has failed to show cause why he should not be compelled to comply with the Summonses; and


2. The District Court order Respondent to provide the information required by the Summonses to the IRS by no later than 30 days after the District Court adopts this Report and Recommendation.

Within 10 days after being served with a copy of this Report and Recommendation, a party may serve and file specific, written objections. A party may respond to another party's objections within 10 days after being served with a copy thereof. Pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#), the District Judge to whom this case is assigned shall make a *de novo* determination upon the record of any portion of the undersigned's disposition to which specific written objection has been made. The

District Judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended decision, receive further evidence, or re-commit the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

DATED this 27th day of July 2009.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Brooke E. Wells". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "B".

BROOKE WELLS, Magistrate Judge
United States District Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Utah, and that a copy of the foregoing REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION was mailed first class postage prepaid, this 23d day of July 2009 to the following:

Kevin J. Dalglish
13438 Corner Bridge Ln.
Draper, UT 84020

/s/ Jared C. Bennett